

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOC'KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,116	09/09/2003	Homan B. Kinsley, JR.	013400-199	9227
21839 75	590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
= :	NE SWECKER & MA	SINGH,	SINGH, ARTI R	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404 , VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	n, VA 22313-1404		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	V	u	_
ι	v	v	•

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/657,116	KINSLEY,, HOMAN B.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ms. Arti Singh	1771					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[	Claim(s) 1-9 and 15-17 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[🛛 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Č	oo ino allaanda adlallaa omoo adlaan lar a list i	or the defined dopies not received	u.					
Attachment	(c)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>several</u> .  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								
Pater: and Trademak Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/657,116 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-9, and 15-17, drawn to nonwoven web, classified in class 442 in various subclasses.

II. Claims 10-14, drawn to the formation of the nonwoven, classified in class 8 in various subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the foam or binder could be coated onto the individual fibers (coated fibers) or the foam/binder could be present and the tangled web could be air blown onto the foam/binder and then heat set.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Ms. Melissa Hayworth on 04/07/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group 1, claims 1-9 and 15-17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,116 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

## Specification

5. The use of the trademarks (RYTON™) has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized (ALL CAPS) wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology and appropriate symbol.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-9 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,830,656 issued to Kinsley JR. in view of Watkins USPN 5,085,938.
- 8. Kinsley discloses inventing a nonwoven web comprised of metal or refractory fibers. The fibers can be ceramic, carbon, zinc, nickel or stainless steel (column 3). In column 7, Patentee teaches that their composite can be used to make filters, fuel cells and electrodes. The only limitation not suggested by Kinsley is the use of poly(phenylene sulfide) as the binder.
- 9. Watkins teaches using poly(phenylene sulfide) as a binder in fiber reinforced composites. A person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to have employed the poly(phenylene sulfide) binder of Watkins

Art Unit: 1771

in the composite of Kinsley, motivated by the reasoned expectation of having a composite with good long term heat stability.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ms. Arth Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Ars 04/18/05